



Port Darlington Water Pollution Control Plant 2023 Annual Performance Report





The Regional Municipality of Durham

Port Darlington Water Pollution Control Plant 2023 Annual Performance Report

Environmental Compliance Approval (ECA): 0114-8S8RTA Dated April 24, 2012
Environmental Compliance Approval (Air): 2242-8TFNN3 Dated June 19, 2012

The Port Darlington Water Pollution Control Plant (WPCP) 2023 Annual Performance Report provides staff, stakeholders, and customers a performance overview of the Port Darlington WPCP. Further, this report fulfills the annual reporting requirements of the Ontario Ministry of the Environment, Conservation and Parks (MECP). This report demonstrates the commitment of ensuring that the WPCP continues to deliver wastewater services to our customers in an environmentally responsible manner.

Water Pollution Control Plant Process Description

General

The Port Darlington WPCP is located in the Municipality of Clarington (Bowmanville) and is owned and operated by the Regional Municipality of Durham (Region). The plant operates in accordance with the terms and conditions of the ECAs. Port Darlington WPCP treats wastewater from the Bowmanville service area. Two process trains were added in November 2015 and are treating all incoming wastewater. The four existing trains have been removed from service for refurbishment. The plant treats wastewater from approximately 49,183 residents in the Bowmanville service area. The Port Darlington WPCP is designed to treat wastewater at an average daily flow rate of 27,276 cubic metres per day (m³/d). The plant is an MECP Class 3 conventional activated sludge treatment plant that utilizes the following processes to treat wastewater;

- raw influent pumping,
- preliminary treatment,
- primary treatment,
- phosphorus removal,
- secondary treatment,
- disinfection (chlorination/dechlorination), and
- solids management.

Raw Influent Pumping

Wastewater is collected through approximately 161 kilometres of sanitary sewers in Bowmanville and is conveyed to the Port Darlington WPCP by gravity to the raw sewage pumping station located at the WPCP.



Preliminary Treatment

Screening: Two automatic, mechanically cleaned screens remove paper products and large material that could harm pumps and process equipment. Screenings removed in this process are compacted for landfill disposal.

Grit Removal: Heavy suspended material such as sand and small stones (grit) is removed in the two vortex grit tanks. The velocity of the wastewater swirling in the tanks is controlled by the velocity of influent flow to allow heavy grit material to settle, while keeping the lighter organic material in suspension to proceed to the next process tank. The grit removed in this process is dewatered and transported to landfill.

Primary Treatment

The two primary clarifiers utilize the physical process of sedimentation which allows suspended material to settle to the bottom of the tank as sludge. This raw sludge, along with the excess activated sludge from the secondary treatment process is collected by a flight and chain mechanism which pushes the sludge into hoppers. The sludge is then pumped to the anaerobic digesters for further treatment. Any material floating on the surface of the clarifier is also removed to the digester.

Phosphorus Removal

The phosphorus removal system lowers the total phosphorus level in the final effluent by adding a chemical coagulant, ferrous chloride, into various locations throughout the Water Pollution Control Plant. In 2023, ferrous chloride was dosed only in the primary effluent.

Secondary Treatment

Aeration Tanks: The aeration tanks are comprised of two distinct sections. The first section is an anoxic zone, where no oxygen is introduced and allows for denitrification. Subsequently, the flow leaves the anoxic zone and enters the aerated zone where fine bubbled air is diffused into the wastewater to assist bacteria in removing dissolved and suspended organics, and nutrients.

Secondary Clarifier: The effluent from the aeration tanks is directed to the two secondary clarifiers where the solids settle to the bottom as activated sludge leaving clear supernatant. A portion of the activated sludge collected on the bottom of the clarifier is pumped back to the head of the aeration tanks and the excess activated sludge is wasted to the primary clarifiers.

Disinfection (chlorination/dechlorination)

Chlorine in the form of liquid sodium hypochlorite is metered into the secondary effluent stream for pathogen control. Adequate contact time is provided by the single chlorine contact chamber. Disinfected effluent is dechlorinated with a sodium bisulphite solution before being discharged through a 1,350 millimetre (mm) diameter land section of effluent sewer extending 525 metres (m) to a 1,200 mm diameter marine section of effluent outfall which extends 1,055 m into Lake Ontario.



Solids Management

Anaerobic Digestion: The raw sludge that is collected from the primary clarifiers is pumped into the anaerobic digesters where anaerobic bacteria reduce the volume of sludge. As a result of digestion the plant produces biosolids, water, carbon dioxide, methane, and hydrogen sulphide. The supernatant is returned to the head of the plant for further treatment.

Sludge Management: All stabilized sludge produced at the Port Darlington Water Pollution Control Plant (WPCP) is hauled to the Duffin Creek WPCP for incineration.

Environmental Compliance Approval (ECA)

Under Condition 10.(6) of ECA #0114-8S8RTA the Region must produce an annual performance report that contains the following information:

a) Summary and interpretation of all monitoring data and a comparison to the effluent limits;

The raw wastewater flowing into the plant is analyzed for its chemical and physical composition. Monitoring of the raw wastewater is performed in accordance with the conditions in the ECA. Table 2 summarizes the raw wastewater characteristics during the reporting period.

The Port Darlington WPCP effluent was determined to be compliant with the ECA approval limits during the reporting period. The plant operated at 50% of its annual average rated flow capacity and received a maximum daily flow of 34,215 cubic metres per day (m³/d) on February 10, 2023. See tables 3 and 4 for effluent results.

b) Description of any operating problems encountered and corrective actions taken;

Operating problems encountered and corrective actions taken in 2023 included:

- Ferrous chloride lines routinely became plugged due to the quality of ferrous chloride received. The tanks were emptied, and new product was requested. The chemical supplier has been contacted and is reviewing the quality of the product.

c) Summary of all maintenance carried out on any major structure, equipment, apparatus, mechanism or thing forming part of the Works;

Major maintenance items in 2023 included:

- Replaced liner and cone casting on grit classifier 2,
- Replaced mechanical seals on digester recirculation pump 201,
- Replaced element on aeration 6 ferrous pump.

d) Summary of any effluent quality assurance or control measures undertaken in the reporting period;



Port Darlington Water Pollution Control Plant 2023 Annual Performance Report

In-house laboratory (lab) test results are compared to the results of the Regional Environmental Laboratory on comparable samples to determine the in-house accuracy.

Online instrumentation is verified by WPCP operators using field or lab test equipment. On-line instrumentation is verified by WPCP operators using various field or lab test equipment.

e) Summary of the calibration and maintenance carried out on all effluent monitoring equipment;

The raw influent flow meter was calibrated on May 31, 2023.

Calibration of in-house laboratory equipment was conducted on December 19, 2023.

Calibration of the in-house lab pH meter is conducted regularly.

f) A description of efforts made and results achieved in meeting the Effluent Objectives;

The Region continually strives to achieve the best effluent quality at all times and remain below the objectives specified in the Environmental Compliance Approval:

- The annual average daily flow did not exceed the rated capacity of 27,276 cubic metres per day (m³/d) during the reporting period,
- The total ammonia nitrogen objective of 12.0 milligram per litre (mg/L) (November to May) was exceeded in 3 of 12 monthly samples (25%). During this period a series of high rain events combined with low temperatures disrupted the nitrifying bacteria at the plant. Wasting was reduced and operations adjusted to recover the nitrifying population as quickly as possible.

Best efforts will continue to be applied to maintain results below the objectives.

g) Biosolids Production;

Tabulation of Volume of Sludge Generated;

The volume of sludge removed from Port Darlington Water Pollution Control Plant (WPCP) in 2023 was 27,864 cubic metres.

Outline of anticipated volumes to be generated in the next reporting period;

Even with the increase in population on a year-to-year basis, no significant changes to flows or processing are anticipated. Therefore, no significant changes in sludge generation are expected for the next year.

Summary of locations to where sludge was disposed;

All stabilized sludge produced at the Port Darlington WPCP was hauled to the Duffin Creek WPCP for further treatment or incineration.

h) Summary of any complaints received during the reporting period and any steps taken to address the complaints;

A summary of complaints received from the public is administered through a central database. No complaints were received in 2023.



**Port Darlington Water Pollution Control Plant
2023 Annual Performance Report**

i) A summary of all By-pass, Spills or Abnormal Discharge events;

There were no by-passes during the reporting period. There are no anticipated by-passes planned during the next reporting period.

There were no spills during the reporting period.

Ministry of the Environment, Conservation and Parks (MECP) Inspection

This plant was last inspected by the MECP on November 24, 2015.



**Port Darlington Water Pollution Control Plant
2023 Annual Performance Report**

Table 1 Raw Influent Flows

| Month | Total Flow to Plant* - cubic metre | Average Daily Flow cubic metre per day (m ³ /d) | Maximum Daily Flow m ³ /d |
|----------------|---------------------------------------|---|---|
| January | 493,479 | 15,919 | 27,874 |
| February | 475,880 | 16,996 | 34,215 |
| March | 564,128 | 18,198 | 29,411 |
| April | 511,210 | 17,040 | 32,406 |
| May | 445,606 | 14,374 | 22,715 |
| June | 369,381 | 12,313 | 16,131 |
| July | 368,503 | 11,887 | 14,272 |
| August | 347,414 | 11,207 | 13,025 |
| September | 322,590 | 10,753 | 12,275 |
| October | 328,877 | 10,609 | 11,862 |
| November | 329,351 | 10,978 | 12,291 |
| December | 407,794 | 13,155 | 18,339 |
| Total | 4,964,213 | | |
| Average | 413,684 | 13,601** | |
| Minimum | 322,590 | | |
| Maximum | 564,128 | | 34,215 |
| ECA Limit | | 27,276 | |
| Met Compliance | | Yes | |

*Metered at the raw influent

**Annual Average Daily Flow



**Port Darlington Water Pollution Control Plant
2023 Annual Performance Report**

Table 2 Raw Influent Analyses

| Month | Biochemical Oxygen Demand average (avg.) concentration (conc.) milligram per litre (mg/L) | Total Suspended Solids avg. conc. mg/L | Total Phosphorus avg. conc. mg/L | Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen avg. conc. mg/L |
|------------------------------------|---|--|----------------------------------|---|
| January | 147 | 222 | 4.8 | 45.31 |
| February | 131 | 197 | 4.7 | 42.44 |
| March | 104 | 159 | 3.8 | 32.87 |
| April | 97 | 139 | 4.4 | 34.81 |
| May | 130 | 199 | 4.9 | 38.65 |
| June | 135 | 201 | 5.4 | 45.98 |
| July | 128 | 230 | 6.4 | 49.31 |
| August | 161 | 207 | 5.5 | 51.39 |
| September | 166 | 233 | 5.6 | 53.60 |
| October | 200 | 276 | 6.0 | 61.16 |
| November | 206 | 238 | 5.6 | 57.33 |
| December | 169 | 235 | 4.8 | 47.96 |
| Average | 148 | 211 | 5.2 | 46.73 |
| Minimum | 97 | 139 | 3.8 | 32.87 |
| Maximum | 206 | 276 | 6.4 | 61.16 |
| Sampling Frequency Requirement Met | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |



**Port Darlington Water Pollution Control Plant
2023 Annual Performance Report**

Table 3 Final Effluent Analyses

| Month | Carbonaceous Biochemical Oxygen Demand average (avg.) concentration (conc.) milligram per litre (mg/L) | Total Suspended Solids avg. conc. mg/L | Total Phosphorus (TP) avg. conc. mg/L | TP loading kilograms per day year to date avg. | Total Ammonia Nitrogen avg. conc. mg/L summer | Total Ammonia Nitrogen avg. conc. mg/L winter |
|------------------------------------|--|--|---------------------------------------|--|---|---|
| January | 3.6 | 7.6 | 0.38 | 6.0 | | 15.30 |
| February | 2.8 | 6.8 | 0.44 | 6.7 | | 15.83 |
| March | 1.4 | 5.7 | 0.30 | 6.6 | | 11.50 |
| April | 2.1 | 5.2 | 0.26 | 6.1 | | 13.66 |
| May | 1.3 | 6.0 | 0.30 | 5.8 | | 1.24 |
| June | 1.3 | 5.5 | 0.26 | 5.2 | 0.18 | |
| July | 1.2 | 5.1 | 0.34 | 5.0 | 0.18 | |
| August | 1.3 | 4.2 | 0.27 | 4.9 | 0.34 | |
| September | 2.2 | 6.4 | 0.38 | 4.7 | 2.06 | |
| October | 1.7 | 6.8 | 0.42 | 4.7 | 0.60 | |
| November | 3.0 | 7.0 | 0.50 | 4.9 | | 0.66 |
| December | 2.7 | 5.2 | 0.57 | 5.0 | | 0.62 |
| Average | 2.1 | 6.0 | 0.37 | 5.0 | 0.67 | 8.40 |
| Minimum | 1.2 | 4.2 | 0.26 | 4.7 | 0.18 | 0.62 |
| Maximum | 3.6 | 7.6 | 0.57 | 6.7 | 2.06 | 15.83 |
| ECA Limit | 25.0 | 25.0 | 0.8 | | 14.0 | 24.0 |
| ECA Objective | 15.0 | 15.0 | 0.6 | 16.4 | 8.0 | 12.0 |
| Within Compliance | Yes | Yes | Yes | | Yes | Yes |
| Sampling Frequency Requirement Met | Yes | Yes | Yes | | Yes | Yes |



**Port Darlington Water Pollution Control Plant
2023 Annual Performance Report**

Table 3 Final Effluent Analyses continued

| Month | Unionized Ammonia average (avg.) concentration (conc.) milligram per litre (mg/L) | Total Chlorine Residual avg. conc. mg/L | pH minimum | pH maximum | Temperature Degree Celsius avg. |
|------------------------------------|---|---|------------|------------|---------------------------------|
| January | 0.2 | 0.00 | 7.4 | 7.9 | 12.6 |
| February | 0.2 | 0.00 | 7.4 | 7.9 | 11.1 |
| March | 0.1 | 0.00 | 7.3 | 7.8 | 10.8 |
| April | 0.1 | 0.00 | 7.3 | 7.9 | 13.5 |
| May | 0.0 | 0.00 | 6.9 | 7.6 | 15.5 |
| June | 0.0 | 0.00 | 6.7 | 7.4 | 17.7 |
| July | 0.0 | 0.00 | 6.8 | 7.4 | 20.2 |
| August | 0.0 | 0.00 | 6.5 | 7.4 | 20.1 |
| September | 0.0 | 0.00 | 6.5 | 7.6 | 19.8 |
| October | 0.0 | 0.00 | 6.3 | 7.4 | 18.0 |
| November | 0.0 | 0.00 | 6.2 | 7.4 | 14.7 |
| December | 0.0 | 0.00 | 6.7 | 7.7 | 14.0 |
| Average | 0.1 | 0.00 | | | 15.7 |
| Minimum | 0.0 | 0.00 | 6.2 | | 10.8 |
| Maximum | 0.2 | 0.00 | | 7.9 | 20.2 |
| ECA Limit | | 0.02 | 6.0 | 9.5 | |
| ECA Objective | | 0.01 | 6.5 | 9.0 | |
| Within Compliance | | Yes | Yes | Yes | |
| Sampling Frequency Requirement Met | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |



Table 4 *Escherichia coli* Sampling

| Month | Number of Samples | Monthly Geometric Mean Density |
|------------------------------------|-------------------|--------------------------------|
| January | 9 | 1 |
| February | 8 | 2 |
| March | 9 | 2 |
| April | 8 | 95 |
| May | 9 | 8 |
| June | 9 | 13 |
| July | 8 | 8 |
| August | 10 | 12 |
| September | 8 | 7 |
| October | 9 | 10 |
| November | 9 | 7 |
| December | 8 | 6 |
| ECA Limit | | 200 |
| ECA Objective | | 100 |
| Within Compliance | | Yes |
| Sampling Frequency Requirement Met | Yes | |



Table 5 Energy and Chemical Usage

| Month | Total Plant Flow cubic metre (m ³) | Ferrous Chloride Litre (L) | Sodium Hypochlorite kilogram as chlorine | Sodium Bisulphite L | Hydro kilowatt hours | Natural Gas m ³ |
|-----------|---|----------------------------------|---|---------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------------|
| January | 493,479 | 19,644 | 876 | 5,520 | 303,992 | 101,782 |
| February | 475,880 | 7,562 | 758 | 5,078 | 265,655 | 50,520 |
| March | 564,128 | 23,094 | 808 | 5,537 | 304,716 | 65,350 |
| April | 511,210 | 26,884 | 713 | 5,407 | 296,197 | 63,625 |
| May | 445,606 | 51,817 | 926 | 5,572 | 303,273 | 56,206 |
| June | 369,381 | 37,021 | 1,175 | 5,476 | 289,775 | 33,380 |
| July | 368,503 | 29,317 | 1,411 | 5,566 | 297,688 | 27,354 |
| August | 347,414 | 44,193 | 1,369 | 5,655 | 291,145 | 24,589 |
| September | 322,590 | 42,427 | 1,354 | 5,381 | 290,890 | 28,036 |
| October | 328,877 | 36,491 | 1,740 | 5,538 | 298,520 | 30,433 |
| November | 329,351 | 31,424 | 1,722 | 5,300 | 308,956 | 62,792 |
| December | 407,794 | 36,504 | 1,980 | 5,482 | 343,203 | 71,967 |
| Total | 4,964,213 | 386,378 | 14,833 | 65,512 | 3,594,010 | 616,034 |



Table 6 Summary of Raw Water Bacteriological Analyses at the Bowmanville Water Supply Plant

| Month | <i>Escherichia coli</i> (<i>E. coli</i>) Number of Samples | <i>E. coli</i> Colony Forming Units per 100 millilitre (CFU/100ml) Results Range | Total Coliform Number of Samples | Total Coliform Results Range |
|-----------|--|--|----------------------------------|------------------------------|
| January | 17 | Non-Detect (ND) - 3 | 17 | ND - 380 |
| February | 15 | ND - 1 | 15 | ND - 150 |
| March | 18 | ND | 18 | ND - 6 |
| April | 14 | ND | 14 | ND - 1 |
| May | 17 | ND | 17 | ND - 25 |
| June | 17 | ND – Overgrown (OG) | 17 | ND - OG |
| July | 17 | ND - OG | 17 | ND - OG |
| August | 18 | ND - 2 | 18 | ND - 23 |
| September | 15 | ND - OG | 15 | ND - OG |
| October | 17 | ND - 1 | 17 | ND - 72 |
| November | 18 | ND - OG | 18 | ND - OG |
| December | 14 | ND | 14 | ND - 5 |